For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRACY FIDEL ALFORD,

No. C 10-04414 SBA (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JAMES WALKER,

Respondent.

Petitioner has filed a motion for appointment of counsel in this action.

The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. See Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), however, authorizes a district court to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner whenever "the court determines that the interests of justice so require" and such person is financially unable to obtain representation. The decision to appoint counsel is within the discretion of the district court. See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986); Knaubert, 791 F.2d at 728; Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. 1984). The courts have made appointment of counsel the exception rather than the rule by limiting it to: (1) capital cases; (2) cases that turn on substantial and complex procedural, legal or mixed legal and factual questions; (3) cases involving uneducated or mentally or physically impaired petitioners; (4) cases likely to require the assistance of experts either in framing or in trying the claims; (5) cases in which petitioner is in no position to investigate crucial

Case 4:10-cv-04414-SBA Document 18 Filed 06/28/11 Page 2 of 3

1	facts; and (6) factually complex cases. See generally 1 J. Liebman & R. Hertz, Federal Habeas
2	Corpus Practice and Procedure § 12.3b at 383-86 (2d ed. 1994). Appointment is mandatory only
	when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent
4	due process violations. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196; Eskridge v. Rhay, 345 F.2d 778, 782 (9th
5	Cir. 1965).
6	At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is

At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is mandated for Petitioner. Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel, and Petitioner's request is DENIED. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's <u>sua sponte</u> reconsideration should the Court find an evidentiary hearing necessary following consideration of the merits of Petitioner's claims.

This Order terminates Docket no. 16.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/24/11

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	
3	
4	TRACY FIDEL ALFORD, Case Number: CV10-04414 SBA
5	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
6	V.
7	JAMES WALKER et al,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
11	That on June 28, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
13	
14	
15	Tracy Fidel Alford D-10707
16	California State Prison - Sacramento P.O. Box 29-066
17	Represa, CA 95671
18	Dated: June 28, 2011 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
19	By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28